UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,901	06/28/2006	Gerrit Hollemans	NL040020	1985
24737 7590 07/08/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			HUR, ECE	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/596,901	HOLLEMANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ECE HUR	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ne 2006.					
· <u> </u>	. · ·					
<i>i</i>	· 					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	alaatian raguiramant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This action is responsive to application filed on June 28, 2006 in which claims 1 to 19 are presented for examination. This application claims priority from PCT/IB05/50004 application.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-19 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 14, 18 and 19 are independent Claims.

Claims 13, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 6, 8, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second paragraph.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-11, 13, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, specifically directed towards Software program per se.

Regarding Claims 1-11, claims 1-11 recite a "graphical user interface for navigating...", which can be interpreted as software per se.

Regarding Claims 13, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter and claiming "Software" per se. Software is functional descriptive material that can be considered statutory only if it is both functional and clearly embodied on a computer readable medium

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

and designed to support specific data manipulation function. When functional descriptive material is recorded on a computer-readable medium it will become structurally and functionally interrelated the medium and will be statutory in most cases since the use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. See In re Lowry, 32 F.3D 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir 1994) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQd at 1759. A Software structure is functional if the specific arrangement of data enables a computer to accomplish useful result arising from the arrangement of the data in the software. However, only computer readable medium executed instruction by a processor could be statutory, it is not clearly defined as being embodied in a computer readable medium as executed instruction and is therefore not statutory. See Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding Claims 6, 8, 9, 13, dependency to any preceding Claims renders the Claims indefinite. Correction required.

Claim Objections

Claims 6, 8, 9 and 13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because improper multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shalit et al., US 5,714,971.

Regarding Claim 1, Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of a graphical user interface for navigating through content comprising a history panel wherein navigation history data is displayed, and at least a first panel displaying a first menu of a content structure, the first menu comprising one or more selectable items in FIG. 2D, FIG. 8, wherein the ability of navigation through content structure is illustrated. (Shalit, FIG. 2D, Column 1, lines 49-51, Abstract, Column 9, lines 19-20, FIG. 8, Navigation history).

Regarding Claim 2, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claim 1. See the rejection of Claim 1 for details. Shallt discloses the claimed aspect of a graphical user interface according to claim 1, further comprising at least a second panel

for displaying a first sub-menu of a currently highlighted item of the first menu in FIG. 2D, wherein a second panel is illustrated.

Regarding Claim 3, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claims 1 or 2. See the rejection of Claims 1 or 2 for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of navigation history data is updated with a reference to the menu displayed in the first panel upon selection of an item of the menu in FIG. 2D, FIG. 8, wherein navigation history is updated is illustrated.

Regarding Claim 4, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claims 2 or 3. See the rejection of Claims 2 or 3 for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the first menu in the first panel is replaced with the sub-menu of the second panel upon selection of an item in the first panel in FIG. 2D, wherein first panel has an item from the second panel, ARM Support.

Regarding Claim 5, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claim 4. See the rejection of Claim 4 for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the sub-menu in the second panel is replaced with a sub-menu of a currently highlighted item of the first sub-menu, in FIG. 2D, wherein ARM Support is selected in the first panel, and second panel display ARM Support with sub menu.

Regarding Claim 6, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the navigation history data comprises a reference to previously selected menu(s) in FIG. 9E, wherein ARM Support and Level-1 is illustrated.

Page 6

Regarding Claim 7, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of navigation history data is displayed as pictograms in FIG. 9E, wherein icons are illustrated next to the items in the first panel.

Regarding Claim 8, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of one or more layered panels show the navigation history in FIG. 9E, wherein navigation history is illustrated.

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of any preceding Claims. See the rejection of preceding Claims for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the content structure comprises a main menu starting point, the navigation history data comprises navigation history data starting from the main menu starting point in FIG. 9E, wherein Leibniz is the main menu.

Regarding Claims 12 and 13, the rejection for Claims 1-11 substantially applies to Claim 12. See rejection details for Claims 1-11.

Regarding Claim 14, the rejection for Claims 1-4 apply to Claim 14. See rejection details for Claims 1-4.

Regarding Claim 15, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claim 14. See the rejection of Claim 14 for details. The rejection for Claims 3 and 4 apply to Claim 15. See rejection details for Claims 3 and 4.

Regarding Claim 16, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of Claim 15. See the rejection of Claim 15 for details. Shalit discloses the claimed aspect of the selection of an active element causes the navigation to revert to the selected data in the navigation history data in FIG. 9E, wherein Level-1 is selected.

Regarding Claim 17, most of the limitations have been met in the rejection of any one of Claims 14-16. See the rejection of Claims 14-16 for details.

Regarding Claim 18, the rejection of Claims 1 and 14 apply substantially to Claim 18. See rejection details for Claims 1 and 14.

Regarding Claim 19, the rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 14 apply substantially to Claim 19. See rejection details for Claims 1, 2 and 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901 Page 8

Art Unit: 2175

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1) Holtzblatt et al., US 20010038395, "Method and System for Accessing Information, history panel".
- 2) Bergsten et al., US 20030001907, "Method and Apparatus For Scrollable Cross-Point Navigation In A User Interface".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ECE HUR whose telephone number is (571) 270-1972. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM BASHORE can be reached on (571) 272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,901 Page 9

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ece Hur E.H./e.h.

June 10, 2009

/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175